Data Link Layer

- Link layer services
- Error detection and correction
- Multiple access protocols
- Link-Layer Addressing
- Ethernet
- Interconnections: Hubs and switches
- PPP
- Link Virtualization: ATM and MPLS

Point-to-Point (serial) links

- Many data link connections are point-to-point serial links:
  - Dial-in or DSL access connects hosts to access routers
  - Routers are connected by high-speed point-to-point links
- Here, IP hosts and routers connected by a serial cable
- Data link layer protocols for point-to-point links are simple:
  - Main role: encapsulation of IP datagrams
  - No media access control needed



Dial-Up Access



Point-to-Point Links

Point to Point Data Link Control

- one sender, one receiver, one link: easier than broadcast link:
  - no Media Access Control
  - no need for explicit MAC addressing
  - e.g., dialup link, ISDN line

Data Link Protocols for Point-to-Point links

- **SLIP (Serial Line IP)**
  - First protocol for sending IP datagrams over dial-up links (from 1988)
  - Encapsulation, not much else
- **PPP (Point-to-Point Protocol):**
  - Successor to SLIP (1992), with added functionality
  - Used for dial-in and for high-speed routers
- **HDLC (High-Level Data Link) :**
  - Widely used and influential standard (1979)
  - Default protocol for serial links on Cisco routers
  - Actually, PPP is based on a variant of HDLC

PPP Design Requirements [RFC 1557]

- packet framing: encapsulation of network-layer datagram in data link frame
  - carry network layer data of any network layer protocol (not just IP) *at same time*
  - ability to demultiplex upwards
- bit transparency: must carry any bit pattern in the data field
- error detection (no correction)
- connection liveness: detect, signal link failure to network layer
- network layer address negotiation: endpoint can learn/configure each other's network address

PPP non-requirements

- no error correction/recovery
- no flow control
- out of order delivery OK
- no need to support multipoint links (e.g., polling)

Error recovery, flow control, data re-ordering all relegated to higher layers!

PPP Data Frame

- Flag: delimiter (framing)
- Address: does nothing (only one option)
- Control: does nothing; in the future possible multiple control fields
- Protocol: upper layer protocol to which frame delivered (eg, PPP-LCP, IP, IPCP, etc)



PPP Data Frame

- info: upper layer data being carried
- check: cyclic redundancy check for error detection



Byte Stuffing

- "data transparency" requirement: data field must be allowed to include flag pattern <01111110>
  - Q: is received <01111110> data or flag?

- Sender: adds ("stuffs") extra < 01111110> byte after each < 01111110> *data* byte
- Receiver:
  - two 01111110 bytes in a row: discard first byte, continue data reception
  - single 01111110: flag byte

Byte Stuffing



PPP Data Control Protocol

Before exchanging network-layer data, data link peers must

- configure PPP link (max. frame length, authentication)
- learn/configure network layer information
  - for IP: carry IP Control Protocol (IPCP) msgs (protocol field: 8021) to configure/learn IP address



Data Link Layer

- Link layer services
- Error detection and correction
- Multiple access protocols
- Link-Layer Addressing
- Ethernet
- Interconnections: Hubs and switches
- PPP
- Link Virtualization: ATM and MPLS

Virtualization of networks

Virtualization of resources: a powerful abstraction in systems engineering:

- computing examples: virtual memory, virtual devices
  - Virtual machines: e.g., java
  - IBM VM OS from 1960's/70's
- layering of abstractions: don't sweat the details of the lower layer, only deal with lower layers abstractly

The Internet: virtualizing networks

1974: multiple unconnected nets
- ARPAnet
- data-over-cable networks
- packet satellite network (Aloha)
- packet radio network

… differing in:
- addressing conventions
- packet formats
- error recovery
- routing



ARPAnet



satellite net

"A Protocol for Packet Network Intercommunication",
V. Cerf, R. Kahn, IEEE Transactions on Communications,
May, 1974, pp. 637-648.

The Internet: virtualizing networks

Internetwork layer (IP):

- addressing: internetwork appears as a single, uniform entity, despite underlying local network heterogeneity
- network of networks

Gateway:

- "embed internetwork packets in local packet format or extract them"
- route (at internetwork level) to next gateway



Cerf & Kahn's Internetwork Architecture

What is virtualized?

- two layers of addressing: internetwork and local network
- new layer (IP) makes everything homogeneous at internetwork layer
- underlying local network technology
  - cable
  - satellite
  - 56K telephone modem
  - today: ATM, MPLS

… "invisible" at internetwork layer. Looks like a link layer technology to IP!

ATM and MPLS

- ATM, MPLS separate networks in their own right
  - different service models, addressing, routing from Internet
- Viewed by Internet as logical link connecting IP routers
  - just like dialup link is really part of separate network (telephone network)
- ATM, MPSL: of technical interest in their own right

Asynchronous Transfer Mode: ATM

- **1990's/00 standard for high-speed** (155Mbps to 622 Mbps and higher) *Broadband Integrated Service Digital Network* architecture
- Goal: *integrated, end-end transport of carry voice, video, data*
  - meeting timing/QoS requirements of voice, video (versus Internet best-effort model)
  - "next generation" telephony: technical roots in telephone world
  - packet-switching (fixed length packets, called "cells") using virtual circuits

ATM architecture



- adaptation layer: only at edge of ATM network
  - data segmentation/reassembly
  - roughly analagous to Internet transport layer
- ATM layer: "network" layer
  - cell switching, routing
- physical layer

ATM: network or link layer?

Vision: end-to-end transport: "ATM from desktop to desktop"

- ATM *is* a network technology

Reality: used to connect IP backbone routers

- "IP over ATM"
- ATM as switched link layer, connecting IP routers



ATM Adaptation Layer (AAL)

- ATM **Adaptation Layer** (AAL): "adapts" upper layers (IP or native ATM applications) to ATM layer below
- AAL present **only in end systems**, not in switches
- AAL layer segment (header/trailer fields, data) fragmented across multiple ATM cells
  - analogy: TCP segment in many IP packets



ATM Adaptation Layer (AAL) [more]

Different versions of AAL layers, depending on ATM service class:

- AAL1: for CBR (Constant Bit Rate) services, e.g. circuit emulation
- AAL2: for VBR (Variable Bit Rate) services, e.g., MPEG video
- AAL5: for data (eg, IP datagrams)



ATM Layer

Service: transport cells across ATM network

- analogous to IP network layer
- very different services than IP network layer

Network Architecture	Service Model	Guarantees ? Bandwidth	Loss	Order	Timing	Congestion feedback
Internet	best effort	none	no	no	no	no (inferred via loss)
ATM	CBR	constant rate	yes	yes	yes	no congestion
ATM	VBR	guaranteed rate	yes	yes	yes	no congestion
ATM	ABR	guaranteed minimum	no	yes	no	yes
ATM	UBR	none	no	yes	no	no

ATM Layer: Virtual Circuits

- VC transport: cells carried on VC from source to dest
  - call setup, teardown for each call *before* data can flow
  - each packet carries VC identifier (not destination ID)
  - *every* switch on source-dest path maintain "state" for each passing connection
  - link,switch resources (bandwidth, buffers) may be *allocated* to VC: to get circuit-like perf.
- Permanent VCs (PVCs)
  - long lasting connections
  - typically: "permanent" route between to IP routers
- Switched VCs (SVC):
  - dynamically set up on per-call basis

ATM VCs

- Advantages of ATM VC approach:
  - QoS performance guarantee for connection mapped to VC (bandwidth, delay, delay jitter)
- Drawbacks of ATM VC approach:
  - Inefficient support of datagram traffic
  - one PVC between each source/dest pair) does not scale (N*2 connections needed)
  - SVC introduces call setup latency, processing overhead for short lived connections

ATM Layer: ATM cell

- 5-byte ATM cell header
- 48-byte payload
  - Why?: small payload -> short cell-creation delay for digitized voice
  - halfway between 32 and 64 (compromise!)

Cell header



Cell format



ATM cell header

- **VCI:** virtual channel ID
  - will *change* from link to link thru net
- **PT:** Payload type (e.g. RM cell versus data cell)
- **CLP:** Cell Loss Priority bit
  - CLP = 1 implies low priority cell, can be discarded if congestion
- **HEC:** Header Error Checksum
  - cyclic redundancy check



ATM Physical Layer (more)

Two pieces (sublayers) of physical layer:

- Transmission Convergence Sublayer (TCS): adapts ATM layer above to PMD sublayer below
- Physical Medium Dependent: depends on physical medium being used

TCS Functions:

- Header **checksum** generation: 8 bits CRC
- Cell **delineation**
- With "unstructured" PMD sublayer, transmission of **idle cells** when no data cells to send

ATM Physical Layer

Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer

- **SONET/SDH:** transmission frame structure (like a container carrying bits);
  - bit synchronization;
  - bandwidth partitions (TDM);
  - several speeds: OC3 = 155.52 Mbps; OC12 = 622.08 Mbps; OC48 = 2.45 Gbps, OC192 = 9.6 Gbps
- **TI/T3:** transmission frame structure (old telephone hierarchy): 1.5 Mbps/ 45 Mbps
- **unstructured**: just cells (busy/idle)

IP-Over-ATM

Classic IP only

- 3 "networks" (e.g., LAN segments)
- MAC (802.3) and IP addresses



IP over ATM

- replace "network" (e.g., LAN segment) with ATM network
- ATM addresses, IP addresses



IP-Over-ATM



app
transport
IP
Eth
phy
IP
Eth
AAL
ATM
phy
phy
ATM
phy
ATM
phy
app
transport
IP
AAL
ATM
phy

Datagram Journey in IP-over-ATM Network

- at Source Host:
  - IP layer maps between IP, ATM dest address (using ARP)
  - passes datagram to AAL5
  - AAL5 encapsulates data, segments cells, passes to ATM layer
- ATM network: moves cell along VC to destination
- at Destination Host:
  - AAL5 reassembles cells into original datagram
  - if CRC OK, datagram is passed to IP

IP-Over-ATM

Issues:

- IP datagrams into ATM AAL5 PDUs
- from IP addresses to ATM addresses
  - just like IP addresses to 802.3 MAC addresses!



Multiprotocol label switching (MPLS)

- initial goal: speed up IP forwarding by using fixed length label (instead of IP address) to do forwarding
  - borrowing ideas from Virtual Circuit (VC) approach
  - but IP datagram still keeps IP address!



MPLS capable routers

- a.k.a. label-switched router
- forwards packets to outgoing interface based only on label value (don't inspect IP address)
  - MPLS forwarding table distinct from IP forwarding tables
- signaling protocol needed to set up forwarding
  - RSVP-TE
  - forwarding possible along paths that IP alone would not allow (e.g., source-specific routing) !!
  - use MPLS for traffic engineering
- must co-exist with IP-only routers

MPLS forwarding tables

in label	out label	dest	out interface
	10	A	0
	12	D	0
	8	A	1

in label	out label	dest	out interface
10	6	A	1
12	9	D	0



in label	out label	dest	out interface
8	6	A	0

in label	out label	dest	out interface
6	-	A	0

Summary

- principles behind data link layer services:
  - error detection, correction
  - sharing a broadcast channel: multiple access
  - link layer addressing
- instantiation and implementation of various link layer technologies
  - Ethernet
  - switched LANS
  - PPP
  - virtualized networks as a link layer: ATM, MPLS